UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,487	05/15/2009	Lars Petersen	606-134-PCT-PA	3682
	7590 06/22/201 LL & SINGH, LLP	1	EXAMINER	
· ·	ARMAN AVENUE		CANFIELD, ROBERT	
IRVINE, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurs as an	10/585,487	PETERSEN, LARS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT CANFIELD	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ag	oril 2011.					
	•					
<i>'</i>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2009</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , ,	-1				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/15/09.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
S Patent and Trademark Office	3) <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/585,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Figures 1 and 3 in the reply filed on 04/06/11 is acknowledged. The traversal been found persuasive. Claims 1-17 are treated on the merits below.

- 2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 05/15/09 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.
- 3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the additionally pultruded elements adhered to the short sides of said rectangular glass panel [claim 8] must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "said hardened glass" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 10-14, "said distance elements" lack antecedent basis. Note claim 10 depends from claim 8 and the distance elements are not introduced until claim 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,487 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

In claim 12, "the inner space" and "the glass panels" lack antecedent basis. Note claim 10 depends from claim 8 and the further glass panel is not introduced until claim 9.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language.

This claim is an omnibus type claim.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14 as best understood, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FR 2,708,030 to Marsol.

Marsol provides a building element comprised of spaced glass panels 1/2 having pultruded elements 6 around the peripheral edge thereof. The pultruded elements 6 including glass fibers and having a coefficient of expansion close to that of the glass panels. (see fourth paragraph of English machine translation). The pultruded elements are bonded to the glass with a structural glue (first paragraph page 2 of English translation) which may be an epoxy structural adhesive (paragraph 9 page 3 of English translation). Vapor absorbing substance or desiccant in provided in metallic element 3. Metallica element 3 is considered to meet the limitation of a gas tight foil [cl. 12].

Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

9. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 14 as best understood, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 91/08366 to Davies.

Davies provides a building element comprised of spaced glass panels 10/11 having pultruded elements 12 around the peripheral edge thereof. The pultruded elements 12 including glass fibers and having a coefficient of expansion substantially equal to that of the glass panels. (see bottom page 5). The pultruded elements are bonded to the glass with a urethane structural sealant 28/29. Vapor absorbing substance or desiccant 25is provided in integral distance element 21.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over each of 11. FR 2,708,030 to Marsol and Davies WO 91/08366 in view of EP 0 113 209 To Davies.

Marsol and Davies WO 91/08366 each provide all of the elements of the claim as noted above except for specifying the content of the fibers being more the 40%

Davies '209 teaches at pages 21 and 22 that at the time of the invention it was know that the percentage of glass fibers by weight can be varied to specifically tailor to a specific glass and obtain the required coefficient of thermal

expansion and more specifically provides an example employing 80% glass fibers by weight

Page 6

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art that the pultruded elements of Marsol and Davies '366 could have included a content of fibers of more than 40% by weight in view of the teachings of Davies '209 in order to obtained a required coefficient of thermal expansion.

12. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR 2,708,030 to Marsol in view of U.S. Patent 5,079,054 to Davies.

Marsol provides each of the elements of the claims as noted above expect that a foil vapor barrier is provided integral to the protruded elements.

Davies teaches that at the time of the invention it was know to provide a foil vapor barrier 40 in an integral pultrusion process with the spacer or distancing element of a multi-pane building element.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art that the pultruded element 6 of Marsol could have integrally been provided with a foil vapor barrier as taught by Davies at 40 so as to provide an improved vapor/moisture transmission.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note particularly U.S. Patents 4,984,402 and 5,280,686 to Davies.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT CANFIELD whose telephone number is (571)272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635